## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Name of Assigned Judge HOLDERMAN or Magistrate Judge			Sitting Judge if Other Than Assigned Judge			
Case Number	01 CV 8945	Date	Apr	April 11, 2003		
Case Title  George Garcia vs. City of Chicago, et al.						
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd-party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]						
DOCKET ENTRY:  (1) Filed motion of [use fisting in "MOTION" box above]						
(2) Brief in support of motion due						
(3) Answer brief to motion due						
(4) Ruling on set for at						
(5) Status hearing held continued to set for re-set for at						
(6) Pretrial conf. held continued to set for re-set for at						
(8) Bench Trial Jury Trial Hearing held and continued toat						
(9) This case is dismissed without with prejudice and without costs by agreement pursuant to						
FRCP 4(j) (failure to serve)  General Rule 21 (want of prosecution)  FRCP 41(a)(1)  FRCP 41(a)(2)						
(10) [Other docket entry]						
Defendant City of Chicago's Motion Regarding Defense Counsel as Trial Witness (Dkt. No. 176 Paragraph II) is denied. All counsel are admonished to review and comply with the Code of Professional Conduct of this District particularly Local Rule 83.53.7 quoted on the back of this order.  (11) For further detail see						
No notices required	, advised in open court.		<u> </u>	number of		
No notices required.	157 CO481	ATS10 .8. <b>U</b>	<u> </u>	notices	Document #	
Notified counsel by telephone.			APR 1 4 2003	date docketed	Document #	
Docketing to mail notices.		t tige (gg	IIM	docketing dpty, initials		
Mail AO 450 form. Copy to judge/magistrate Judge.			ADD 7 7 con	<del></del> -( ` ` `	1237	
Copy to judge/magistrate Judge.  APR 1 1 2003 date mailed notice						
depu	ity's	ate/time received in entral Clerk's Office	¥	mailing dpty. initials		

## **ORDER**

## 01 CV 8945

Code of Professional Conduct of the Northern District of Illinois states in pertinent part:

## LR83.53.7 Lawyer as Witness

- (a) A lawyer shall not act as an advocate in a trial or evidentiary proceeding if the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the lawyer may be called as a witness therein on behalf of the client, except that the lawyer may do so and may testify:
  - (1) if the testimony will relate to an uncontested matter;
- (2) if the testimony will relate to a matter of formality and the lawyer reasonably believes that no substantial evidence will be offered in opposition to the testimony;
- (3) if the testimony will relate to the nature and value of legal services rendered in the case by the lawyer or the firm to the client; or
- (4) as to any other matter, if refusal to act as an advocate would work a substantial hardship on the client.
- (b) If a lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the lawyer may be called as a witness other than on behalf of the client, the lawyer may act as an advocate in a trial or evidentiary proceeding unless the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the lawyer's testimony is or may be prejudicial to the client.
- (c) Except as prohibited by LR83.51.7 or LR83.51.9, a lawyer may act as advocate in a trial or evidentiary proceeding in which another lawyer in the lawyer's firm may be called as a witness, and nothing in this rule shall be deemed to prohibit a lawyer barred from acting as advocate in a trial or evidentiary proceedings from handling other phases of the litigation.